

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/693,248	10/24/2003	Ryszard J. Gordecki	CS22815RL	1395	
20280	7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA INC			GELIN, JEAN ALLAND		
600 NORTH ROOM AS43	US HIGHWAY 45 37		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/693,248	GORDECKI, RYSZARD J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jean A. Gelin	2617		

J	LAGITITIE	ALCOIN	i			
	Jean A. Gelin	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	``	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	aatad alaima				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
<u> </u>		فيجمع المحمدة	(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		time also filed and an andres	ant concelling the			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	nowabie ii submitted in a separate,	umely liled amendme	ant canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro			,,,p.a.,,a.,,			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10 and 14-22</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. 1,3-10 and 14-22.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: see attached.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
To. KA Other, see attached.						

Application/Control Number: 10/693,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the Applicant's amendments and arguments filed on March 07, 2006 in which claims 1, 3-10, and 14-22 are currently pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Matsumoto does not disclose the claimed first part including a display and a third part including a keypad, the part coupled to the first by a hinge. However, the Examiner disagrees with the preceding argument because the first part is connected to the third part, at least indirectly. The Applicant further argues that Matsumoto does not disclose a first part, a second part coupled to the first part by a pivot mechanism and the second part including a camera as recited in claim 1. Clearly, Matsumoto teaches all the features of the claimed invention such as the hinge and the pivot mechanism to connect the keypad, the display, and the camera. Anyone of ordinary skill in the art can rearrange the parts disclosed by Matsumoto to arrive at the claimed invention. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claims 17 and 20 include nothing more than what has been disclosed in claim 1. therefore, the rejections are maintained for the same reason.

The Applicant further argues that no amendments were made over to claim 11 when it was rewritten in independent claim 20. However, the Examiner disagrees with the preceding

Application/Control Number: 10/693,248

Art Unit: 2617

limitation. Claim 11 was dependent on claim 1 which includes a camera but claim 20 does not

include the camera. Therefore, the final rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jean A. Gelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7842. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Banks-Harold Marsha can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JGelin June 8, 2006 PRIMARY EXAMINER
Jean Heland Gelin

Page 3